

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/658,747	09/08/2003	John G. Maneatis	TCU 328	3400
23581 7.	590 03/22/2005		EXAMINER	
KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C. 520 S.W. YAMHILL STREET			SHINGLETON, MICHAEL B	
SUITE 200	HILL STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORTLAND,	OR 97204		2817	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/658,747	MANEATIS, JOHN G.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael B. Shingleton	2817			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to com	nmunication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINA	·					
<u>'</u>						
closed in accordan	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 46 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not re Replacement drawin	quest that any objection to the ogsets of the graph of the state of the correction o	r. epted or b)  objected to by the for the form of the drawing(s) is objected.  Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/658,747

Art Unit: 2817

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I as represented in part by Figure 5 wherein the pll has the  $I_{bp}$  output is directly connected to the bias generator 24; Species II as represented in part by Figure 6, wherein the pll has  $V_{bn}$  directly connected to the charge pump 22 and  $I_{bp}$  is produced by a isolated output 120 (Figure 6); Species III as represented in part by Figure 8, wherein the pll has  $V_{bn}$  directly connected to the charge pump 22 and  $I_{bp}$  is produced by correction circuit 220 (Figure 8) that forms a "series of smaller pulses". Species IV as represented in part by Figures 9 and 10, wherein the pll has  $V_{bn}$  directly connected to the charge pump 22 and  $I_{bp}$  is produced by a circuit 240 (Figure 9) that forms correction "over an increased period of time". Note that Figure 1 is not generic to the various species as this Figure does not include all the limitations generic to both species. For example Figure 1 shows the direct connection of the  $V_{bn}$  to the charge pump which is not generic to Species I wherein this species requires that jitter reducing circuit be connected between  $V_{bn}$  and the charge pump 22.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Shingleton whose telephone number is (571)272-1770. The examiner can

Page 3

normally be reached on Tues-Fri from 8:30 to 4:30. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays. The examiner normally has second Mondays of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal, can be reached on (571)272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MBS

February 25, 2005

Michael B Shingleton Primary Examiner

Mil & M

**GROUP ART UNIT 2817**